

1# Assume that matter moves with velocity  $\tilde{v}_m$

- # Assume body moves with velocity  $\tilde{v}$ .
- # The balance laws are valid for all sub-parts of the body.
- # Density corresponds to density of matter in body.
- # Kinetic energy & internal energy, linear momentum are attributes of matter.

Balance of Mass:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\partial B(t)} p \, dv = - \int_{\partial B(t)} p \tilde{v}_m \cdot \tilde{n} \, da$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[ p + p \operatorname{div} \tilde{v} - \operatorname{div} (p \tilde{v}_m) \right]$$

Balance of Linear Momentum:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\partial B(t)} p (\tilde{v} + \tilde{v}_m) \, dv = - \int_{\partial B(t)} p (\tilde{v} + \tilde{v}_m) \otimes \tilde{v}_m \cdot \tilde{n} \, da + \int_{\partial B(t)} (I + \tilde{I}) \tilde{m} \, da$$

$$\Rightarrow (p + p \operatorname{div} \tilde{v}) (\tilde{v} + \tilde{v}_m) + p (\tilde{v} + \tilde{v}_m) \otimes p \tilde{v}_m - \operatorname{div} (p \tilde{v}_m) (\tilde{v} + \tilde{v}_m)$$

Balance of Angular Momentum:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_m} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \rho (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m) dV = \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_m} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{T}} + \tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \tilde{n} da - \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_m} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \rho (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{l}} \cdot \tilde{n} da$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Eink}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_r)_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_m} \\ &= \text{Eink}(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_r + \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_r) \\ &+ \text{Eink} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r \otimes (\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_r + \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Eink}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r)_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_m} \\ &= \text{Eink}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r) \\ &+ \text{Eink} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r \otimes (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r) \\ &+ \text{Eink} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r \otimes (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\rho + \rho \text{div} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) [\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m)] + \rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m) + \rho \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m)$$

$$= -\bar{\mathbf{X}} (\tilde{\mathbf{T}} + \tilde{\mathbf{T}}) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \text{div} (\tilde{\mathbf{T}} + \tilde{\mathbf{T}})$$

$$\left\{ \bar{\mathbf{X}} (\mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{B}}) \right\} = \text{Eink} \text{Ayr Brk}$$

from balance of mass

$$\Rightarrow -\text{div} (\rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m) [\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m)]$$

$$+ \rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \text{div} (\rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m) (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m)$$

$$+ \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \text{div} (\tilde{\mathbf{T}} + \tilde{\mathbf{T}}) - \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \text{div} (\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \otimes \rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m$$

$$= -\bar{\mathbf{X}} (\tilde{\mathbf{T}} + \tilde{\mathbf{T}}) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \text{div} (\tilde{\mathbf{T}} + \tilde{\mathbf{T}})$$

$$- \rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m \times (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m) - \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times [\text{grad}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m) \rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m]$$

$$- \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m) \text{div} (\rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \text{div} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \text{div} [\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m] \times \rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{l}} + \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \times \text{div} [\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m] \times \rho \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{l}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{T}} + \tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \bar{\mathbf{X}} - (\tilde{\mathbf{T}} + \tilde{\mathbf{T}})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\{ \rho \tilde{v} \otimes (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \right\} \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \\
 & \textcircled{1} \quad (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) + \left[ \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}|^2 \right] (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) = \\
 & \quad + \rho \tilde{v} \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) + (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \\
 & \quad - \left\{ \rho \tilde{v} \otimes (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \right\} \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) - \\
 & \quad - \text{dev} \cdot (\rho \tilde{v} \otimes (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w})) + (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) = \\
 & \quad = \rho \tilde{v} \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) + \left[ \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}|^2 \right] (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) + \rho \tilde{v} \cdot \text{dev} \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\partial B(t)} (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \cdot (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) \cdot \tilde{n} \, da \\
 & + \int_{\partial B(t)} - \rho \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{n} \, da \\
 & = \int_{\partial B(t)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \rho (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) - \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{w} \right\} \rho \, da \\
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_B \rho \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) \cdot (\tilde{v} + \tilde{w}) + \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{w} \right] \, dv
 \end{aligned}$$

Balance of Energy:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Rightarrow \int (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I})_{\text{succ}} = 0 \\
 & \Rightarrow \underline{X}(\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \text{grad}(\varepsilon) \cdot \rho \tilde{v}_m + \\
 & \text{grad}(\tilde{v}_m) \cdot \rho \tilde{v}_m : [\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m \otimes (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m)] + \text{grad}(\tilde{v}_m) \cdot \rho \tilde{v}_m : \text{sym}(\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) + \\
 & \text{sym}([\text{grad}(\tilde{v}_m) \tilde{v}_m] : \text{sym}(\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m)) = \rho \varepsilon \quad \text{③}
 \end{aligned}$$

Nach anderen monomien  $\Rightarrow (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I})_{\text{sym}} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \text{grad}(\varepsilon + |\tilde{v}_m|^2) \cdot \rho \tilde{v}_m + \\
 & - (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) \text{grad} : (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) = \rho \varepsilon \quad \text{④}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = \rho \varepsilon + \frac{\rho \tilde{v}_m}{|\tilde{v}_m|^2} \text{div}(\rho \tilde{v}_m) - \text{⑤}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{div}(\rho \tilde{v}_m) / |\tilde{v}_m|^2 - \text{grad}(|\tilde{v}_m|^2) \cdot (\rho \tilde{v}_m) - \\
 & (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) \text{grad} : (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) - (\rho \tilde{v}_m) \cdot \text{grad} \varepsilon - \\
 & \text{⑥} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} a_i \cdot (a_i \cdot \tilde{v}_m) \\ a_i \cdot (a_i \cdot \tilde{v}_m) \\ a_i \cdot (a_i \cdot \tilde{v}_m) \end{array} \right| + a_i \cdot (a_i \cdot \tilde{v}_m)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = \rho \varepsilon + \rho \tilde{v}_m \otimes (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) - (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) \cdot \text{div}(\rho \tilde{v}_m)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \text{grad} \varepsilon \cdot (\rho \tilde{v}_m) - (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) \text{grad} : (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) - \\
 & \Rightarrow \text{div}(\rho \tilde{v}_m) / |\tilde{v}_m|^2 - \text{grad}(|\tilde{v}_m|^2) \cdot (\rho \tilde{v}_m)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \text{div}(\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) \cdot (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) + (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) \text{grad} : (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) + \\
 & \text{①} \quad \text{grad}(\varepsilon) \cdot \rho \tilde{v}_m
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \text{div}(\rho \tilde{v}_m) \left[ \frac{1}{|\tilde{v}_m|^2} + \text{grad} \left( \frac{1}{|\tilde{v}_m|^2} \right) \cdot \rho \tilde{v}_m \right] + \text{grad} \left( \frac{1}{|\tilde{v}_m|^2} \right) \cdot \rho \tilde{v}_m \quad \text{②}$$

$$+ \text{div}(\tilde{I} + \tilde{I}) \cdot (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) + (\tilde{v}_m \tilde{v}_m) \text{grad} : (\tilde{I} + \tilde{I})$$

$$- \text{div} \left\{ \rho \varepsilon \tilde{v}_m \right\}$$

$$\text{R.H.S.} = - \text{div} \left\{ \frac{1}{|\tilde{v}_m|^2} \rho \tilde{v}_m \right\}$$

$$\boxed{\bar{I} : [\text{grad}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_m)]^{\text{sym}} = -\rho \tilde{v}_m \cdot \text{grad}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_m)^2}$$

# So to make balance of energy objective, require the constraint

be objective one cannot have  $\bar{I} = 0$ .

looks like for balance of energy to be objective and therefore, it

# from a quick check I did it does not look like  $\text{grad}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_m)^2$  can be

$$+ \bar{I} : \text{grad}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_m) + \rho \tilde{v}_m \cdot \text{grad}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_m)^2$$

$$\rho \dot{E} = \bar{I} : (\bar{D} + \text{grad} \tilde{v}_m) + \rho \tilde{v}_m \cdot \text{grad} \dot{E}$$

So, let us assume  $\bar{I}^*$  and  $\bar{I}$  are individually objective (angular momentum balance is satisfied)

The last two relationships do not seem to help.

$$\Rightarrow \rho \dot{E} = \bar{I} : [\text{grad}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_m)] + \rho \tilde{v}_m \cdot \text{grad}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_m) + [\bar{I} + 2(\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_m) \otimes \rho \tilde{v}_m] : \text{grad}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}_m)$$

Remark 1: There is this analysis different

from being conventional continuum mechanics on contact volumes moving with arbitrary velocity?

— In the case mentioned above, it is possible to write the balance laws in at least one way where the arbitrary velocity of the contact volume does not figure in the balance laws.

Not so, in the case with matter moving w.r.t. the body.

Remark 2: In many descriptions of fracture

a 'cohesive law' is required prescribe how fracture patterns evolve. This

cohesive law is a 'traction-separation' specification. It is of course to

interpret the appearance of  $\Gamma$  (a crack) as such a cohesive law predicted

from invariance requirements? Note that  $\gamma$  will depend on strain gradients &  $\Gamma$ ,  $\dot{\Gamma}$  and  $\dot{\gamma}$  from kinematical and strength field

Remark 3: It seems to make no sense to

physical cause of one that movement of matter relative to the body (resulting in fracture) should have an implication on contact tractions on surfaces.